



## QUARTERLY PROJECT REPORT [QUARTER 3, 2018]

### United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

#### Cambodia

#### Collaborative Management for Watershed and Ecosystem Service Protection and Rehabilitation in the Cardamom Mountains, Upper Prek Thnot River Basin (CoWES)

01-07-2018 – 30-09-2018



**Project ID:** 00090509

**Duration:** July 2017- July 2020

**Total Budget:** US\$1,490,917.00

**Implementing Partners/Responsible parties:** Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)

**Country Programme Outcome:** By 2018, people living in Cambodia, in particular youth, women and vulnerable groups, are enabled to actively participate in and benefit equitably, from growth and development that is sustainable and does not compromise the well-being or natural or cultural resources of future generation.

## Table of Content

Table of Content .....	2
I. Executive Summary .....	3
II. Implementation Progress .....	4
III. Project Implementation Challenges .....	9
a. Updated project risks and actions (See Annex 2 for detail) .....	9
b. Updated project issues and actions .....	10
IV. The First Project Board Meeting .....	10
V. Financial Status and Utilization .....	11
Annex 1: Workplan for Q4, 2018 .....	14
Annex 2: Updated project risks .....	15

## I. Executive Summary

The election of national assembly, formation of new government, heavily continued rains and flood at project target areas are the main challenges for project-activity implementation. However, with high commitment of partners and project team, many achievements and results have produced during this third quarter.

With regards to 3<sup>rd</sup> quarterly plan, the achievements made as below:

### **Component 1:**

Community participatory situation analysis conducted at Tasal Commune (there are 3 target communes and it is a last one). A closed working with local authorities and local community, the community action plan for the demonstration of agriculture or farming developed at Lor Ngim, Trapeang Chor Commune (up to date, community action plan developed at 2 out of 3 target areas). Awareness raising on protection of natural resources and watershed management and support community with small scale of water supplies for agriculture and farming (in a concept of soil water conservation--SWC) started through participatory assessment and planning with communities and local authorities. With technical supports from MAFF and DARLM, MB, LA and community have been developing support-service plan in response to results of situation analysis at 3 target communes called community livelihood improvement plan (CLIP). A course on agroforestry conducted for 26 CF members (10 females) at Damrey Chakthlok.

### **Component 2:**

Damrey Chak Thlok Community forestry (CF) and other two community protected areas (CPA--Reaksmei Samaki and Tang Bampong) were participatory identified/selected for project's intervention. Rapid assessment of community forestry and community protected areas status conducted, it is included an identification of CF and CPA boundary, zoning, reviewing legal supported papers/documents and to update the present land-ownership with communities, local authorities, provincial departments and relevant ministries, particularly MAFF and MoE. A training course on community organization management delivered to Tang Bampong and Reaksmei Samaki Community Protected Areas.

### **Component 3:**

Prek Thnot Watershed Economic Valuation conducted by an international and local consultant in July 2018. The inception report was submitted and finalized based on inputs from implementing partner, project team and UNDP CO. The debriefing workshop organized on September 4, 2018 at Phnom Penh Hotel to review preliminary findings and brainstormed for development of watershed management roadmap. 26 participants (6 females) from different technical departments under MAFF, Ministry of Environment, Kampong Speu Provincial Agricultural Department, Royal University of Agriculture, NGO's partner and UNDP attended and provided recommendations and inputs to both consultants for report writing and development of roadmap/strategy.

Along with planned activities, other activities were implemented such as 2018 Project Implementation Review (PIR) developed and submitted to GEF regional office in Bangkok on July 2, 2018, the spot check to ensure transparent and effective financial utilization conducted by an auditing firm hired by UNDP from 17-21 September 2018 and Memorandum of Agreement between MAFF and PDAFF officially signed in September 2018.

Achieved results against 5 setting targets in year 2018 are i) situation analysis conducted and micro-watershed resource assessment made at target communes (reached target), ii) commune and community action plans developed at demonstrated sites (2 of 3 target communes), iii) understanding of local authorities and communities on sustainable land management (SLM), soil water conservation and agroforestry (under progress), iv) rapid assessment on current status and trend of community forestry (CF) and community protected areas (CPA) conducted at 3 piloted areas (under progress) and v) support service plan/ CLIP to address the assessment's results developed at 3 target communes (under progress).

**Conclusion: the overall rate for an implementation progress or delivery in Q3 is slightly below plan, it is anticipated that all setting target will be achieved by the end of year 2018.**

## II. Implementation Progress

### PROGRESS TOWARDS PROJECT OUTPUTS

Output Indicators	Baseline (Jan 2018)	2018 Targets (December)	Status
<b>Output 1.1:</b> SLM priorities mainstreamed into local authority area plans in collaboration with MAFF and partners			
<b>Indicators 1.1.1:</b> # Communes with plans, budgets and working groups reflecting landscape (land and water) based SLM strategies agreed with District, MAFF and key partners	(0)	Target 1: Situation and livelihood analysis conducted, and micro-watershed resource assessment made at target communes.	The situation analysis was conducted at Tasal Commune on August 14-15, 2018. 63 people (41 females) from PDAFF, LAs and communities attended this assessment (It is a last commune, there were 3 target communes).
		Target 2: Commune and community action plans developed at target communes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Community action plan was participatory developed at Trapeng Chor on August 16, 2018, 38 participants (9 females) from PDAFF, communities, district and commune representative attended this meeting.</li> <li>- September 25-27, 2018, participatory discussion between (technical working group) TWG/DALRM and target communes (LAs) to mainstream concepts of watershed management, SLM, protected erosion, water resource conservation and forest conservation into commune plans (all 3 communes agreed in principle).</li> </ul>
		Target 3: Support service plan developed to respond the community plans and to address the assessment's results.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Need assessment discussed with communities and local authorities. Prioritized needs of communities being response such as training course on agroforestry conducted at Damrey Chak Thlok Community on August 8-9, 2018 (26 participants, 10 females joined) and small water supplies for agricultural activities is under assessment August 6-8, 2018 (21 participants, 4 females joined). DARLM conducted field assessment and provided technical inputs to communities and MB on micro-watershed boundaries and water flow.</li> <li>- Based on results of situation analysis, MB has been facilitating and assisting communes do develop community livelihood improvement plan (CLIP) at 3 target communes. It is a plan formulated in response to people's needs at respective communes.</li> <li>- In September, temporary agreements made with target communes in order to mainstream</li> </ul>

			project activities into CIP.
- delivery exceeds plan		- delivery in line with plan	- <b>delivery below plan (slightly below)</b>

**Output 1.2:** Suitable SLM practices for small landholders demonstrated.

<p><b>Indicators:</b></p> <p>1.2.1. Percentage of men and women in the adopting SLM practices/technologies (including agroecology friendly practices) in the pilot communes.</p> <p>1.2.2. No. Communes with SLM oriented extension support system for men and women farmers in place</p>	<p>(0)</p> <p>(0)</p>	<p>Target 4: Understanding of local authorities and communities on sustainable land management (SLM), soil water conservation and agroforestry</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Under technical supports from MAFF, DARLM and MB, the SLM, SWC and watershed management concepts are regularly disseminated and introduced to local authorities (districts and communes) and communities.</li> <li>- 3 sites are identifying to demonstrate SLM and SWC modalities (Dok Por, Lo Ngim and Tangbamong).</li> <li>- Technical validation for site's selection of agricultural demonstration conducted by DARLM working group to supplement local selection under MB facilitation.</li> <li>- Feasibility studied on small scale water supplies at Dok Por and Lor Ngim by MB and DARLM. Location and areas identified for demonstrated SLM and SWC concepts.</li> <li>- Met and discussed with LAs (target districts and communes) to include the improvement of agricultural productivity and protection of natural resources into their plan. A general concepts of nature protection and agricultural improvement were integrated into their plan, especially CDP and CIP (consultation and discussion are going along/during the project implementation).</li> <li>- September 3-4, 2018, a technical working group (TWG/DARLM) conducted field assessment on soil water conservation (SWC) and agroecosystem at 3 target communes. Technical advices provided to communities and local authorities on season-farm calendar, rotation-plant technology and multi-cropping technology.</li> <li>- September 19-20, 2018, the technical advices provided by TWG/DARLM to communities and communes on SWC and sustainable land management (SLM) such as agroforestry, integration of farming and animal husbandry, landcover-plant</li> </ul>
---	-----------------------	--	--

			<p>technology etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- September 27-28, 2018, feasibility study and technical validation or verification conducted by TWG/DARLM at 3 demonstrated farming sites (these areas were selected by MB and communities).</li> <li>- September 29-30, 2018, TWG/DARLM conducted field assessment on SLM at Damrey Chakthlok, Reaksmey Samaki and Tangbampong. Technical recommendation provided to communities and local authorities in protecting soil's erosion (sandy soil) including landcover-plant technology and forest restoration.</li> </ul>
- delivery exceeds plan		- delivery in line with plan	- delivery below plan

<b>Output 1.3:</b> Suitable land use practices demonstrated among medium to large scale agribusiness entities			
<p><b>Indicators:</b></p> <p>1.3.1. No. of Agreements with key Agribusiness on relevant practices executed and under implementation.</p>	(0)	It was target in 2019 and 2020	<p>It was target in 2019 and 2020, therefore, no progress made</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Baseline survey on socio-economic and environmental condition conducted to at target areas, this survey's results will track for project's progress/result against result framework.</li> <li>- September 06-08, 2018, field assessment conducted by TWG/DARLM on an implication of current practices made by agribusiness and large landholders. The economic land concessions (ELC) listed and potential issues identified.</li> <li>- August 2018, along with watershed economic valuation studied (international consultancy), a list of ELC was developed.</li> <li>- September 10-14, 2018, assessment on positive and negative impacts of agribusiness (ELCs) conducted by TWG/DARLM.</li> </ul>
- delivery exceeds plan		- delivery in line with plan	- delivery below plan

<b>Output 2.1:</b> Prioritized actions to accelerate CF implementation, reflected in local authority and MAFF programs of action			
<p><b>Indicators:</b></p> <p>2.1.1. No of collaborative, local authority -base plans to address CF implementation issues (including law enforcement) and livelihood opportunities.</p> <p>2.1.2. Indicator: % of CF with</p>	(0)	Target 5: Rapid assessment on current status and trend of community forestry (CF) and community protected areas (CPA) conducted at target districts and communes.	<p><b>Reviewing of documents and ground check conducted at 1 CF and 2 CPA such as verified boundary and zoning through an involvement of communities, LAs, wildlife officer and MB staffs:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- On July 3, 2018, field assessment conducted at Tasal CPA. The group consisted of 5 people (no female) from MoE, communities and MB.</li> </ul>

<p>enhanced plans under implementation, in pilot communes.</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- On July 4, 2018, field assessment made at Tang Bampong CPA. The group consisted of 5 people (0 female) from MoE, communities and MB</li> <li>- August 2-3, 2018, ground assessment/feasibility study conducted at Tasal CPA. The group consisted 7 people (no female) from MoE, communities and MB.</li> <li>- August 7-9, 2018, developed CF operational plan (also updated management plan) at Damrey Chakthlok and trained 26 CF members (10 females) on agroforestry (facilitated by Forest Administration in Kampong Speu Province).</li> <li>- On August 23-24, 2018, trained 31 participants (8 females) on community/organizational management, filling documents, report writing and financial management (Reaksmey Samaki, Trapeang Chor Commune).</li> <li>- On August 25, 2018, field assessment/feasibility study conducted at Reaksmey Samaki CPA. The group consisted of 32 people (8 females) from MoE, communities and MB.</li> <li>- On September 11-12, 2018, field assessment conducted at Reaksmey Samaki CPA. The group consisted 45 people (12 females) from MoE, communities and MB</li> <li>- On September 13-14, 2018, land cover assessment conducted at Reaksmey Samaki CPA. The group consisted of 28 people (3 females) from MoE, LA, communities and MB.</li> <li>- On September 19, 2018, representatives of Tang Bampong and Reaksmey Samaki CPA attended meeting on CPA management plan organized by MoE at Battambang Province.</li> <li>- Enhancement of CF and CPAs is timely consumed and will also must to follow the FA and MoE process/procedure such feasibility study, formulation of community member/membership, developed documents and request for CF or CPA to relevant authorities, development of community statute and internal rule, development of management plan etc. The MAFF, MB and project team have been actively working and progress has significantly made.</li> </ul>
<p>- delivery exceeds plan</p>	<p>- delivery in line with plan</p>	<p>- delivery below plan</p>	

<b>Output 2.2:</b> Suitable restoration strategies and livelihood enterprises demonstrated			
<p><b>Indicators:</b></p> <p>2.2.1. No. and type of Forest restoration strategies in place</p> <p>2.2.2. No of men and women benefitting from forest-based livelihoods and agroecology friendly farming practices)</p>	<p>N/A (TBI by MB or M&amp;E Officer)</p> <p>N/A TBI by MB or M&amp;E Officer)</p>	<p>It was target in 2019 and 2020</p>	<p>It was target in 2019 and 2020, therefore no progress made.</p> <p>The MoA has officially signed between MAFF and PDAFF in September 2018. The technical assistances and advices will be provided by PDAFF to CF as well as CPAs, particularly forest restoration strategy.</p>
<p>- delivery exceeds plan</p>	<p>- delivery in line with plan</p>	<p>- delivery below plan</p>	

<b>Output 3.1:</b> Capacity of key stakeholders to develop and start a program of action for watershed management in place			
<p><b>Indicators:</b></p> <p>3.1.1. No. of stakeholder-based sectors trained to on collaborative watershed management.</p> <p>3.1.2. Collaborative watershed wide Program of action and interim coordination mechanism in place.</p>	<p>(0)</p> <p>(0)</p>	<p>It was target in 2019 and 2020</p>	<p>It was target in 2019 and 2020, however, but there are some activities starting from this quarter such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Preak Thnot Watershed Economic Valuation conducted by an international and local consultant in July 2018. The inception report was submitted and finalized based on inputs from implementing partner, project team and UNDP CO.</li> <li>- The debriefing workshop organized on September 4, 2018 at Phnom Penh Hotel to review preliminary findings of economic valuation and brainstormed for development of watershed management roadmap. 26 participants came from different technical departments under MAFF, Ministry of Environment, Kampong Speu Provincial Agricultural Department, Royal University of Agriculture, NGO's partner and UNDP attended and provided recommendations and inputs to both consultants for further actions (development of report and roadmap).</li> <li>- A letter to establish the sub-national committee for watershed management drafted by MAFF and will submit for minister's decision.</li> </ul>
<p>- delivery exceeds plan</p>	<p>- delivery in line with plan</p>	<p>- delivery below plan</p>	



<b>Output 3.2:</b> Participatory monitoring and assessment to support agreed upon program of action is in place			
<b>Indicator:</b> 3.2.1. No of agreements executed at different local levels with stakeholder groups who can help monitor agreed upon indicator	NA (TBI by MB or M&E Officer)	It was target in 2019 and 2020	It was target in 2019 and 2020, therefore no progress made
- delivery exceeds plan	- delivery in line with plan	- delivery below plan	

<b>Project operation and management</b>	Start-up		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The progress implementation report developed and submitted online to GEF in Bangkok on July 2.</li> <li>- September 17-21, 2018 Spot Check to determine whether the funds transferred to IPs were used for their intended purposes and in accordance with the work plan and to assess the accuracy of the financial records for cash transfers to IPs, status of the programme and whether there have been any significant changes to applicable internal controls</li> </ul>
- delivery exceeds plan	- delivery in line with plan	- delivery below plan	

### III. Project Implementation Challenges

#### a. Updated project risks and actions (See Annex 2 for detail)

No new risk and issues identified during the reporting period. Below is the updated risk and action:

**Project Risk 1:** Limited technical implementation capacities, limited abilities in project contract management and finance.

**Actions taken:** The operation manual and financial system were discussed and agreed between UNDP and MAFF (to apply for NCDD Manual). The project result framework was participatory discussed and revised during inception phase (well discussed in inception workshop) and then got approval from project board on January 25, 2018. All project personnel such as National Project Advisor, M&E Officer, Project Assistant, National Project Coordinator and Admin and Finance Officer are on board to coordinate, work and support daily operation and activity's implementation.

To promote the project-activity implementation, Memorandum of Agreement (MoA) between MAFF and Local NGO (MB) made for an effective facilitation and mobilization of LA and community participation. Additionally, MoAs with other departments (DARLM and PDAFF) also made in order to recruit and manage national consultants providing technical supports/advices and conduct field assessment at target communes. Additionally, spot check to ensure transparent and effective financial utilization conducted by external firm on 17-21 September 2018

**Project Risk 2:** Election of National Assembly scheduled in July 2018, formulation of new government and flooded at project areas caused slow down project-activity implementation

**Actions taken:** The project will continue implementation of activities at target communes using NGO partner (MB) during electoral period. The consultancy's work will continue during the election to keep project moving. The project team and MB have strongly committed working on ground during flood and heavy rains even there was difficult to access to the target sites by muddy road.

#### ***b. Updated project issues and actions***

Insufficiency of MAFF staffs to execute project activities because they are busy with department's duties and functions as their mandate's performance. Currently, there were a few project staffs and management (project manager and director) are coordinating, managing and implementing in this project. Therefore, under guidance of project board, project is building partnership with NGO (MB) and other 2 governmental institutions (Department of Agricultural Land Resource Management—DALRM is Component 1 and 3 focal point and Provincial Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries—PDAFF is Component 2 focal point) to push forward ground activities at target communes. Additionally, the encouragement and mobilization of supports and participations from local administration and communities is strongly needed in project's activities.

With a new government formation, the CoWES project director is changing his position from MAFF secretary of state to a member of national assembly, therefore, designation of authority for an operation and an appointment of new project director is strongly required.

### **IV. The First Project Board Meeting**

Below is the update action taken concerning the First Project Board's recommendations:

**Decision:** engaging more women taking into account equitable gender in project implementation for all the project activities, with project impact on woman participants and non-participants in the project to be studied.

**Action:** A strategic action plan was a comprehensively developed/added in an inception report to accommodate with PB's recommendation. The project partners both government (DARLM and PDAFF) and NGO/MB have been regularly advised to include a concept of gender equality for all activity's implementation, meetings, workshops and other events.

**Decision:** No technical advisory committee was needed in the project implementation structure as proposed by consultant instead focal point at relevant sectoral agencies was endorsed.

**Action:** ToR developed to attach with MoA between MAFF and DARLM/PDAFF. DALRM is a focal point for component 1 and 2 while PDAFF is Component 2 focal point.

**Decision:** Members from Kampong Speu provincial PDAFF and RUA were decided for adding to the existing steering committee fold.

**Action:** No action, MAFF needs to issue an official letter to RUA, but the board should re-consider about membership of PDAFF, due to PDAFF is a partner executing the project.

*For more detail, please find minute of first PB meeting attached with.*

## V. Financial Status and Utilization

**Table 1: Contribution overview [June 2017 – June 2020]**

DONOR NAME	CONTRIBUTIONS (US\$)			Total Received	CONTRIBUTION BALANCE
	Committed	Received			
		2017	2018		
GEF	\$ 1,100,917.00	\$ 169,950.00	\$ 395,877.30	\$ 565,827.30	\$ 535,089.70
UNDP (TRACT FUND)	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 77,000.00	\$ 91,000.00	\$ 59,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,917.00</b>	<b>\$ 183,950.00</b>	<b>\$ 472,877.30</b>	<b>\$ 656,827.30</b>	<b>\$ 594,089.70</b>

**Table 2: Quarterly expenditure by project activity [July – September 2018]**

Activity	Description	2018 QUARTER 2 (Jul-Sept)			
		BUDGET [JUL-SEPT]	QUARTERLY EXPENDITURE	BALANCE	Delivery %
<b>COMPONENT 1: On Farm Soil Conservation and Agroforestry Practices Improved.</b>					
Activity1.1.1	SLM priorities mainstreamed into local authority area plans in collaboration with MAFF and partners	\$35,574.61	\$14,525.41	\$21,049.20	41%
Activity1.2	Suitable SLM practices for small landholders demonstrated.	\$29,393.35	\$125.00	\$29,268.35	0%
Activity 1.3	Suitable land use practices demonstrated among medium to large scale agribusiness entities.	\$9,930.00	\$8,217.95	\$1,712.05	83%
<b>Sub-total Component 1:</b>		<b>\$74,897.96</b>	<b>\$22,868.36</b>	<b>\$52,029.60</b>	<b>31%</b>
<b>COMPONENT 2: Community Forest Areas restored and sustainably managed</b>					
Activity 2.1.1	Prioritized actions to accelerate CF implementation, reflected in local authority and MAFF programs of action.	\$11,871.35	\$4,885.72	\$6,985.63	41%
Activity 2.2.1	Suitable restoration strategies and livelihood enterprises demonstrated.	\$24,984.53	\$22,242.49	\$2,742.04	89%
<b>Sub-total Component 2:</b>		<b>\$ 36,510.88</b>	<b>\$27,128.21</b>	<b>\$9,727.67</b>	<b>74%</b>
<b>COMPONENT 3: Watershed Management and monitoring capacity improved</b>					
Activity 3.1.1	Capacity of key stakeholders to develop and start a program of action for watershed management in place.	\$41,150.00	\$30,701.72	\$10,448.28	75%
Activity 3.2.1	Participatory monitoring and assessment to support agreed upon program of action is in place	\$11,290.00	\$4,869.16	\$6,420.84	43%
<b>Sub-total Component 3:</b>		<b>\$52,440.00</b>	<b>\$35,570.88</b>	<b>\$16,869.12</b>	<b>68%</b>
<b>PROJECT MANAGEMENT COST</b>		<b>\$9,198.00</b>	<b>\$7,207.66</b>	<b>\$1,990.34</b>	<b>78%</b>

**Table 3: Accumulative expenditure by project activity [Jan-Sept 2018]**

Activity	Description	2018			
		BUDGET [2018]	EXPENDITURE (JAN-SEPT)	BALANCE	Delivery %
<b>COMPONENT 1: On Farm Soil Conservation and Agroforestry Practices Improved.</b>					
Activity1.1.1	SLM priorities mainstreamed into local authority area plans in collaboration with MAFF and partners	\$55,559.76	\$29,241.56	\$26,318.20	53%
Activity1.2	Suitable SLM practices for small landholders demonstrated.	\$39,714.29	\$125.00	\$39,589.29	0%
Activity 1.3	Suitable land use practices demonstrated among medium to large scale agribusiness entities.	\$26,100.00	\$25,982.96	\$117.04	100%
<b>Sub-total Component 1:</b>		<b>\$ 121,374.05</b>	<b>\$55,349.52</b>	<b>\$66,024.53</b>	<b>46%</b>
<b>COMPONENT 2: Community Forest Areas restored and sustainably managed</b>					
Activity 2.1.1	Prioritized actions to accelerate CF implementation, reflected in local authority and MAFF programs of action.	\$20,677.36	\$9,904.37	\$10,772.99	48%
Activity 2.2.1	Suitable restoration strategies and livelihood enterprises demonstrated.	\$86,307.14	\$55,237.57	\$31,069.57	64%
<b>Sub-total Component 2:</b>		<b>\$106,984.50</b>	<b>\$65,141.94</b>	<b>\$41,842.56</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>COMPONENT 3: Watershed Management</b>					
Activity 3.1.1	Capacity of key stakeholders to develop and start a program of action for watershed management in place.	\$143,676.53	\$44,372.82	\$99,303.71	31%
Activity 3.2.1	Participatory monitoring and assessment to support agreed upon program of action is in place	\$55,774.80	\$9,162.69	\$46,612.11	16%
<b>Sub-total Component 3:</b>		<b>\$199,451.33</b>	<b>\$53,535.51</b>	<b>\$145,915.82</b>	<b>27%</b>
<b>PROJECT MANAGEMENT COST</b>		<b>\$45,067.42</b>	<b>\$20,320.83</b>	<b>\$24,746.59</b>	<b>45%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$472,877.30</b>	<b>\$194,347.80</b>	<b>\$278,529.50</b>	<b>41%</b>

**Table 4: Accumulative expenditure by project activity [July 2017–September 2018]**

Activity	TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET (2017-2020)	ACCUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES	BALANCE	DELIVERY (%)
ACTIVITY1.1: Assessment to define target areas and interventions in Aural and Phnum Sruoch districts	\$ 33,482.67	\$33,482.67	\$-	100.00%
Activity1.1.1 SLM priorities mainstreamed into local authority area plans in collaboration with MAFF and partners	\$ 110,364.82	\$29,241.56	\$81,123.26	26.50%
ACTIVITY1.2: Suitable SLM practices for small landholders demonstrated.	\$ 84,000.01	\$125.00	\$83,875.01	0.15%
ACTIVITY1.3: Suitable land use practices demonstrated among medium to large scale agribusiness entities.	\$ 50,121.20	\$28,302.16	\$21,819.04	56.47%
ACTIVITY2.1: Restoration of selected community-managed forest lands using appropriate methodologies	\$ 60.00	\$60.00	\$-	100.00%
Activity 2.1.1: Prioritized actions to accelerate CF implementation, reflected in local authority and MAFF programs of action.	\$ 86,693.40	\$9,904.37	\$76,789.03	11.42%
ACTIVITY2.2: Capacity development to improve local livelihoods in Dam Ray Chak Pluk Community Forest	\$ 11,469.05	\$11,469.05	\$-	100.00%
Activity 2.2.1: Suitable restoration strategies and livelihood enter	\$ 201,724.34	\$55,237.57	\$146,486.77	27.38%
ACTIVITY3.1: Establishing functional institutional arrangements for watershed management authority in Kampong Speu province	\$ 9,531.50	\$9,531.50	\$-	100.00%
Activity 3.1.1: Capacity of key stakeholders to develop and start a program of action for watershed management in place.	\$ 284,700.00	\$44,372.82	\$240,327.18	15.59%
ACTIVITY3.2: Development of basic, scalable monitoring and assessment system for land degradation.	\$ 43,669.71	\$43,669.71	\$-	100.00%
Activity 3.2.1: Participatory monitoring and assessment to support agreed upon program of action is in place	\$ 212,100.30	\$9,162.69	\$202,937.61	4.32%
Project Management Cost	\$ 123,000.00	\$40,461.83	\$82,538.17	32.90%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,250,917.00</b>	<b>\$315,020.93</b>	<b>\$935,896.07</b>	<b>25.18%</b>

## Annex 1: Workplan for Q4, 2018

ACTIVITIES (Detail)	Responsible party	2018			Note
		Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
1. Develop Micro watershed planning (National consultant)	MAFF/DARLM		x		
2. Conduct community participatory situational analysis	MAFFF/MB	x			
3. Develop commune and community action plan	MAFFF/MB	x			
4. Develop & implement MAFF support services plan to respond to item	MAFF/MB		x		
5. Technical working group meeting	MAFF/DARLM		x		
6. Annual assessment and follow on local action planning	MAFF/MB		x		
7. Conduct biophysical resource assessment (Agro-Ecosystem Analysis) in Phnom Srouch & Aural district	MAFF/DARLM	x	x		
8. Deepen knowledge and skills of MAFF and NGO service providers on innovative SWC and AF strategies	MAFFF/MB	x	x	x	
9. Support small water conservation enhancement activities	MAFFF/MB		x	x	
10. Technical support for Agroforestry (Agroforestry Specialist)	MAFF/MB		x	x	
11. Consultancy (Technical support for Agriculture Livelihood)	MAFF/DARLM	x	x		
12. Consultancy (Technical support for Landscape-based Agriculture Extension)	MAFF/DARLM		x	x	
13. Stimulation of participatory local authorities (Local Administrations). Support commune council and district council to stimulate the activity implementation and project coordination	MAFF/MB	x	x	x	
14. Conduct a rapid assessment of the nature and scope of current agribusiness investment trends in the area, business practices and their positive and negative impacts.	MAFFF/MB	x			
15. Train relevant MAFF – FA and PDAF teams on appropriate forest restoration strategies and develop knowledge products	MAFF	x	x	x	
16. Conduct a small group discussion (convene round table discussion with 3 target communes)	MAFFF/MB		x		
17. Facilitate community learning of appropriate forest restoration strategies to sustain micro watershed services particularly for agriculture	PDAFF/MB	x	x	x	
18. Technical assistance on environment (National Environment Specialist)	MAFF	x			
19. Conduct annual MAFF-PDAFF assessment and planning workshop incorporate applicable learning into MAFF program	MAFFF/DALRM		x		
20. Provide training to relevant participants	MAFFF/DALRM			x	
21. Conduct GIS mapping and remote sensing studies (using landsAT 8) (National GIS expert)	MAFFF/DALRM	x	x		
22. Select consultant for development of PME	MAFFF/MB	x	x		
23. Support the consultant to develop PME tool, lesson plans, and guideline and provide training	MAFFF/MB	x	x		

24. Conduct district level scoping sessions to review results of relevant initiatives and lessons learned so far (APFNET)	MAFF		x		
25. Conduct annual MAFF – PDAFF assessment and planning workshops incorporate applicable learnings into MAFF programs	MAFF		x		
26. Conduct consensus building sessions on overarching watershed land use guidelines and strategic action plan	MAFF			x	

***Annex 2: Updated project risks and issues***

This annex presents the description of the risks and issues, date of risk and issue identification, potential consequence, management response, type of risk and issues, probability of impact, responsible entity, and the latest updates to each of the 13 project risks and 7 issues, please find OFFLINE RISK AND ISSUE LOG.